

| | | | | ((RESEARCH / |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Short Name | Asics Corp | Description: | Relevant GMT Research Reports | s (please go to our website and login): |
| GICS Ind Name | Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods | ASICS CORPORATION manufactures general sporting goods and equipment. | | |
| GICS Industry | 252,030 | The Company's products, such as athletic shoes and sportswear, are | | |
| Cntry of Dom | JP | distributed in the United States, Europe, Australia, and Asia. | | |
| Most Recent Balance Sheet Period | 2017 Y | | | |
| Ticker | 7936 JP | | Short-Seller's Report (for Asian | companies only) |
| Latest Period | Υ | | | |
| Current Fiscal Year | 2017 | | | |
| Well known auditor: | Shin Nihon | | Summary of accounting risk for | Y/FY17 |
| Numbe of auditors in past 5yrs: | 1 | | - Profit manipulation | Low risk: Montier. |
| Country of incorporation: | Country of incorporation (Japan) is sa | me as domicile (Japan). | - Financial position | Strong: Piotrioski. |
| Country of financials: | Currency of financials (Japanese Yen) | is same as country of risk (Japan). | - General accounting | Clean accounting with just 8 red flags |
| , | , , , , , , | , , , , | - Shenanigans | No evidence |
| | | | = Accounting risk: | Low |
| Profit Manipulation | | Latest financials complete | | This spreadsheet expires on 30th June 2018, in 66 days. |
| Profit Manipulation: Beneish M-Sco | ore (See App 1) | Beneish M-Score of -2.84 in Y/FY17 suggests no profit manipulation. | | |
| Cooking the Books: Montier's C-Sco | | A C-Score of 0/6 implies improving financials and unlikely manipulating profit. | | |
| Financial Position | | 7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | | |
| Strength of Financial Position: Piotr | roski F-Score (App 3) | An F-Score of 6/9 suggests financials are improving. | | |
| Risk of Default: Altman Z-Score (Ap | | An Altman Z-Score of 4.21 is in the Safe Zone: Financially sound. | | |
| Accounting Shenanigans | 6 .) | Annual 2 source of marriage and assume the same as the same assume the same assume the same assume the same as | | |
| Acquisition Accounting (App 5) | Global screen | No evidence of acquisition accounting. | | |
| Window Dressing (App 6) | <u>diobal screen</u> | No evidence of window dressing. | | |
| Fake Cash Fraud: Overly profitable | (App 7) Global screen | Scores 0/4 in Y/FY17. 64% of companies score similarly and just 2.4% of frauds. Re | ost easy. Triggered Fake Cash Flow in la | st Syrs?· None |
| Excess Capital Raising (App 8) | Global screen | Net flows over 5yrs at 3% of Sales are normal. | ast casy. Higgered rake cash flow in la | St Syla: None |
| Debt Reconciliation (App 9) | Global screen | Material unreconcilable change of debt in 3 of the last 5 years. | | |
| Other Financial Items | <u>diodal screen</u> | Material and economistic change of destring of the last of years. | | |
| Number of Red Flags (Out of a poss | sible 75) | Triggers 8 red flags in the last financial period which is low. | | |
| Cash Balance | | Cash & STI of JPY81.3bn is within normal range. | | |
| Restricted Cash | | Restricted Cash of JPYObn is within normal range. | | |
| Receivables | | Receivables of JPY69.7bn are equal to 17% of Sales which is in within normal range | e. | |
| Doubtful Debts | | No delinguencies have been reported. | | |
| <u>Payables</u> | | Large Payables of JPY55.9bn are within normal range. | | |
| Inventory | | Inventories of JPY86.4bn are equal to 22% of Sales which is within normal range. | | |
| Profit Manipulation: Gross Working | g Capital | No evidence of profit/cash flow manipulation through working capital. | | |
| Cash Extraction Fraud: Prepaid Exp | | No evidence of illegal cash extraction fraud. | | |
| Capitalisation of Expenses | <u></u> | na | | |
| Affiliate Investments | | Investments in Associates of JPY0.2bn are equal to 0% of equity and 0% of OP, wit | thin normal range. | |
| Auditing Costs | | Auditing expenses of 2.2bp of sales are unusually low in the 16%ile relative to pee | | |
| Deferred Tax Assets | | Large Deferred Tax Assets/Sales of 3% in the 82%ile relative to peers, might refect | | Accounting. |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities | | Deferred Tax Liabilities/Sales of 5% are within normal range. | | |
| Derivatives | | Derivatives equal to 1% of equity within normal range. | | |
| Other Large Curious Assets and/or | Liabilities | Within normal range. | | |
| Depn & Amort | | Within normal range. | | |
| Interest Cover | | EBIT Interest Cover of 34x is within normal range. | | |
| Leverage | | Total Debt/Profit of 4.6x and assets to equity of 1.7x are within normal range. | | |
| Related Party Fraud | | No evidence of related party transactions. | | |
| Other Tests: | | No or new CFO. Unable to reconcile 67% of other comprehensive income which is unusu | ually high. Other comprehensive income is | s -69% of income which is unusually high. Gross Margin volatility is |
| <u> </u> | | and the second s | | and the second s |

© 2014-2018 GMT Research. ALL Rights Reserved.

unusually low at 2.9% in the 19%ile relative to peers which is sometimes a trait of frauds.



| | Global/Sector/ | Ania | s Corp Ratios | | Detie C | hausa | Deer Crew | · Camananiaan | Dation | | Dansant | tiles and Red F | Flags Red Flag Comment |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|---|
| Ratios | Country | ASIC Y/FY17 | s Corp Ratios Y/FY16 | Y/FY14 | Ratio Cl -1yr Chg | nange -3yr Chg | | Comparison 50th %ile | | No of Peers | Y/FY17 | lies and Red F -1yr Chg | -lags Red Flag Comment3yr Chg |
| Balance Sheet: Assets | Country | ,,,,, | 1/1120 | ., | -y. cg | 5 yr c.i.g | 2011 70110 | 30111 70112 | 0011170110 | | (0-100%) | (+/-50%) | (+/-50%) |
| Av Cash & Equiv./Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 18.7 | 15.7 | 15.3 | +3.0 | +3.4 | 3.2 | 10.4 | 24.0 | 379 | 71% | -28% | -18% |
| Unrestricted Cash/COGS (%) | GICS Industry | 36.5 | 29.0 | 31.8 | +7.5 | +4.7 | 5.1 | 16.6 | 40.3 | 314 | 77% | -4% | +2% |
| ST Investments/Sales FY (%) | GICS Industry | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | -0.5 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 172 | 34% | -24% | -19% ST Investments/Sales FY (%) present. |
| Av. Trade & Notes Rec/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 17.2 | 17.6 | 22.4 | -0.3 | -5.2 | 7.2 | 12.1 | 20.0 | 356 | 73% | -16% | -45% |
| Delinquent AR/AR (%) | Global | na | na | na | na | na | 0.9 | 5.9 | 22.7 | 1,912 | na | na | na |
| Av. Inventory/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 21.6 | 22.1 | 24.6 | -0.5 | -3.0 | 13.0 | 19.6 | 29.8 | 375 | 57% | -11% | -29% |
| Inventory Days | GICS Industry | 147 | 154 | 135 | -7 | +12 | 62 | 103 | 187 | 314 | 70% | -32% | +13% |
| Finished Goods/Inventory (%) | GICS Industry | 99 | 99 | 99 | -0.2 | +0.1 | 33 | 60 | 89 | 417 | 93% | -13% | -15% Finished Goods/Inventory (%) high. |
| Av. Other ST Assets/Sales (%) PPE/Sales (%) | GICS Industry GICS Industry | 5.6 9.8 | 7.4 9.7 | 4.8 10.5 | -1.8 +0.2 | +0.8 -0.7 | 2.0 9.2 | 3.6 22.8 | 7.4 45.7 | 376 360 | 71% 22% | -41% +3% | +12% -8% |
| Affliliate Inv/Equity (%) | Global | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -0.0 | -0.7 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 13.1 | 7,896 | 6% | +3% | -7% |
| LT Inv & Rec/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.2 | +0.6 | +1.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 10.0 | 249 | 62% | +26% | +18% |
| Deferred Tax Assets/Sales FY (%) | GICS Industry | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.5 | +0.0 | +0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 277 | 82% | -7% | +16% Deferred Tax Assets/Sales FY (%) high. |
| Prepaid Expenses/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 167 | na | na | na |
| Prepaid Expenses/Inventory (%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 99 | na | na | na |
| Intangibles/Sales FY (%) | GICS Industry | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.1 | -0.3 | +1.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 316 | 75% | -36% | +24% |
| Av. Other LT Assets/Sales FY (%) | GICS Industry | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | -1.1 | -0.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 332 | 48% | -39% | -23% |
| Av. Assets/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 86 | 86 | 85 | +0.4 | +1.0 | 65.5 | 95.1 | 139.4 | 380 | 41% | -7% | -10% |
| Balance Sheet: Liabilities and Equity | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Av. Payables (Trade, Bills, Accr/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 12.6 | 11.6 | 14.1 | +1.0 | -1.5 | 5.3 | 10.0 | 15.5 | 379 | 64% | +24% | -25% |
| Trade Payable Days | GICS Industry | 45 | 44 | 50 | +1.6 | -4.7 | 24.5 | 45.6 | 81.5 | 348 | 49% | +6% | -24% |
| ST Debt/Total Debt (%) | GICS Industry | 10 | 13 | 20 | -2.4 | -10.2 | 37.0 | 69.8 | 100.0 | 342 | 6% | -13% | -16% |
| Av. Other ST Liabs/Sales (%) Av. Deferred Tax Liab/Sales FY (%) | GICS Industry GICS Industry | 3.1 4.7 | 2.5 5.9 | 3.4 3.2 | +0.6 -1.2 | -0.3 +1.5 | 3.8 0.7 | 6.8 3.6 | 10.9 12.1 | 380 256 | 13% 56% | +20% -47% | -14% |
| Av. Other LT Liabs/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 | +1.0 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 298 | 66% | +20% | +34% Risen over 3yrs28% |
| Preferred Equity/Equity (%) | Global | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 20.7 | 908 | na | na | na |
| Minority/Total Equity (%) | Global | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | +0.2 | +0.0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 9,628 | 30% | +18% | +2% |
| Assets/Equity (x) | GICS Industry | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | +0.0 | -0.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 373 | 45% | +13% | -25% |
| Balance Sheet: Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Av. GFA/D&A (yrs) | GICS Industry | 7.6 | 8.3 | 10.0 | -0.7 | -2.4 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 22.8 | 371 | 15% | -26% | -38% |
| Restricted Cash/Equity (%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 60 | na | na | na |
| Cash Conversion Cycle (Days) | GICS Industry | 165 | 175 | 167 | -10 | -2 | 70.6 | 110.2 | 186.0 | 312 | 74% | -33% | -7% |
| Av. Gross Working Capital/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 60.6 | 63.6 | 65.9 | -3.0 | -5.3 | 40.4 | 53.6 | 72.2 | 379 | 63% | -30% | -31% |
| Contingent Liab/Equity (%) | GICS Industry Global | 27.3 2.9 | 17.5 2.4 | 13.5 | +9.8 | +13.8 | 2.0 0.7 | 13.6 2.8 | 59.2 | 253 | 66% 50% | +37% +24% | +35% Risen over 1yr. Risen over 3yrs. |
| Pension Liabilities/Equity (%) Net Derivatives/Equity (%) | Global | 2.9 1.4 | 8.1 | 5.4 1.4 | +0.5 -6.7 | -2.5 +0.1 | -1.4 | 0.0 | 10.0 1.1 | 7,570 2,405 | 82% | -46% | -35% +0% |
| Return on Production Assets (%) | GICS Industry | 15.5 | 19.4 | 25.8 | -3.9 | -10.3 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 33.7 | 382 | 51% | +17% | +25% |
| Non-Prod. Assets/COGS FY (%) | GICS Industry | 86.2 | 83.2 | 3.0 | +3.0 | +83.2 | 34.6 | 67.1 | 132.2 | 299 | 63% | +7% | -40% Risen over 3yrs. |
| Gross Debt/Profit (x) | GICS Industry | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.7 | +0.4 | -0.2 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 13.7 | 306 | 53% | +10% | -10% |
| Income Statement | | | | | | | | | | | (0-100%) | (+/-50%) | (+/-50%) |
| Sales/Employee (US\$) | GICS Industry | 415,566 | 467,971 | 499,466 | -52,405 | -83,900 | 56,779 | 148,854 | 359,649 | 286 | 83% | +41% | +37% Sales/Employee (US\$) high. Fallen over 1yr. Fallen over 3yrs. |
| Gross Margin (%) | GICS Industry | 45.8 | 44.2 | 43.8 | +2 | +2 | 15 | 30 | 52 | 322 | 71% | -5% | -9% |
| GM Volatility 5yrs (%) | GICS Industry | 2.9 | $\overline{}$ | >< | >< | > < | 2.9 | 6.3 | 20.0 | 303 | 19% | | GM Volatility 5yrs (%) low. |
| Other Op Y/Op Y (%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 20.7 | 119 | na | na | na |
| D&A/Sales T12M (%) | GICS Industry | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | +0.3 | +0.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 376 | 53% | -21% | -19% |
| Operating Margin T12M (%) | GICS Industry | 4.9 | 6.4 | 8.1 | -1.5 | -3.2 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 14.9 | 374 | 35% | +21% | +23% |
| Non-Op Y/Op Y (%) | GICS Industry | 14.5 | 10.2 | 7.1 | +4.3 | +7.4 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 36.5 | 329 | 59% | +18% | +19% |
| EFF Int Rate less MLR (ppt) Cash Return less Policy Rate (ppt) | Country | -0.1 -0.3 | 0.1 -0.3 | 0.2 -0.2 | -0.3 -0.0 | -0.3 -0.1 | -0.4 -1.2 | 0.1 -1.0 | 0.8 -0.3 | 1,937 1,935 | 34% 79% | -37% -25% | -28% -38% Fallen over 3yrs. |
| Affil Y/Op Y (%) | Country | -0.3 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.3 | -1.0 2.2 | 11.9 | 6,391 | na | -25% na | na |
| Eff Tax Rate (%) | Country | 39.4 | 28.5 | 37.7 | +10.9 | +1.6 | 30.6 | 39.4 | 47.8 | 1,976 | 49% | +35% | +21% Risen over 1yr. |
| CF Tax/IS Tax (%) | GICS Industry | 80.3 | 97.9 | 100.0 | -17.6 | -19.8 | 64.9 | 98.7 | 151.1 | 285 | 71% | +21% | +22% |
| X Items/Op Y (%) | Global | 0.0 | $\geq <$ | >< | >< | >< | 0.8 | 6.2 | 37.4 | 1,297 | na | >< | |
| Minority Int/Profit B4 MI (%) | Global | 2.0 | 1.6 | 6.6 | +0.4 | -4.6 | -0.1 | 1.8 | 12.8 | 8,362 | 51% | +13% | -31% |
| Net Income Margin (%) | GICS Industry | 3.2 | 3.9 | 4.9 | -0.7 | -1.6 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 11.2 | 383 | 63% | +12% | +17% |
| Capitalised Int/PTP (%) | Global | na | na | na | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13,642 | na | na | na |
| Cap of Intang. Assets/PTP (%) | Global | 14.6 | 17.4 | 3.8 | -2.9 | +10.8 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 16.7 | 728 | 77% | | Cap of Intang. Assets/PTP (%) high. |
| EBIT Interest Cover (x) | GICS Industry | 34.0 | 34.0 | 37.0 | +0.0 | -3.0 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 41.1 | 351 | 23% | +0% | +18% |

© 2014-2018 GMT Research. ALL Rights Reserved.



| | Global/Sector/ | Asics (| Corp Ratios | | Ratio Ch | ange | Peer Grou | p Comparison | Ratios | | Percent | iles and Red F | lage | Red Flag Comment |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Ratios | Country | Y/FY17 | Y/FY16 | Y/FY14 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg | | 50th %ile | 80th %ile | lo of Peers | Y/FY17 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg | |
| Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | | (0-100%) | (+/-50%) | (+/-50%) | |
| CFO/Net Profit (x) | GICS Industry | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.4 | +0.4 | +2.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1,697 | 15% | -14% | -37% | |
| Cash Interest Exp./CFO (%) | GICS Industry | 1.4 | 2.1 | 11.3 | -0.6 | -9.8 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 25.8 | 271 | 31% | -7% | -29% | |
| Capex/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | +1.4 | +0.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 371 | 43% | +30% | +18% | Risen over 1yr. |
| Decrease Investments/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | +0.0 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 141 | 21% | \geq | >< | |
| Other Investing Activities/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 0.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 | -1.3 | -2.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 292 | 44% | | >< | |
| Debt Repay/ST Debt -1FY (%) | Global | 85 | 66 | 24 | +18.6 | +60.4 | 20.0 | 88.4 | 210.9 | 10,373 | 48% | +19% | +29% | |
| Free Cash Flow Margin (%) | GICS Industry | 6.5 | 8.2 | 0.0 | -1.6 | +6.5 | -4.2 | 2.4 | 8.7 | 377 | 28% | +14% | -24% | |
| FCF-Divs/Sales (%) | Global | 5.4 | 7.1 | -0.7 | -1.6 | +6.1 | -7.2 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 10,563 | 25% | +13% | -24% | |
| No. of Mat. Capital Inc -5yrs | Global | 0 | | >< | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 9,451 | na | | > | |
| Other Fin. Activities/Sales (%) | GICS Industry | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | +0.2 | +0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 329 | 67% | | | |
| Corporate Governance | | | | | | | | | | | (0-100%) | (+/-50%) | (+/-50%) | |
| Auditing Exp/Sales (bp) | GICS Industry | 2.2 | > | \sim | >< | >< | 2.5 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 179 | 16% | >< | >< | Auditing Exp/Sales (bp) low. |
| RPT Balances/Equity (%) | Global | 0.0 | >< | \sim | $\geq \leq$ | \geq | 0.3 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 1,434 | na | \geq | > < | |
| Number of Acq&Disp -5yrs | Global | 3 | | >< | $\geq \leq$ | $\geq \leq$ | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 12,251 | 55% | | $\geq \leq$ | |
| Acq&Disp Con./Sales Av5yrs (%) | Global | 1.1 | | $>\leq$ | $\geq \leq$ | | 0.2 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 12,251 | 46% | | $\geq \leq$ | |
| Executive Comp/Sales (bp) | GICS Industry | 3.8 | | $\geq \leq$ | | | 7.4 | 22.6 | 73.1 | 84 | 10% | | $\geq \leq$ | |
| Executive Comp/PTP (bp) | GICS Industry | 70.5 | | \geq | $\geq \leq$ | \geq | 67.6 | 188.6 | 669.0 | 84 | 20% | | $\geq \leq$ | |
| CEO Tenure (yrs) | Global | 7.1 | | \geq | \approx | \geq | 1.4 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 5,466 | 64% | | $ \ge $ | |
| CFO Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | | \geq | \approx | $ \ge $ | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 716 | na | | > | |
| Av. Executive Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | | > | > | \approx | 4.1 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 3,291 | na | | > | |
| Av. Board Tenure (yrs) | Global | 2.9 | | \sim | | | 3.9 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 3,672 | 9% | \sim | _ | Av. Board Tenure (yrs) low. |

Source: GMT Research, Bloomberg

| Summary of financials in Y/FY17 | | Result: Scores within normal range. Few red flags. | Explanation |
|---|---------------|--|---|
| Average Ratio Percentile Score (0 is good, 100 is bad) | 49% | In Y/FY17, Asics Corp recorded an average percentile score (0 is good, 100 is bad) | Each of the 50 financial ratios listed below is percentile ranked relative to an appropriate peer group |
| - Percentile vs Industry Peers (0% is good, 100% is bad) | 50% | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | drawn from 16,000 companies globally between 2010 and 2015. In general, low percentile scores are |
| Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 75) | 8 | relative to around 379 GICS industry peers globally, which is a moderate result. | good while high scores are poor. Red flags are mainly triggered when a company's ratio is above the |
| - Percentile vs Global Peers (0% is good, 100% is bad) | 18% | The company triggered a total of 8 red flags out of 75 placing it in a percentile of 18 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a very good result. | 80th percentile; however, for certain ratios a red flag is triggered when it is below the 20th percentile. Red flags are highlighted below in red. |
| Common of alcoholic financials area 4 comm | | | |
| Summary of change in financials over 1 year | | Result: Few red flags. | Explanation |
| Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62) | 4 | Result: Few red flags. Over the financial year prior to Y/FY17, Asics Corp triggered a total of 4 red flags | Explanation Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively |
| · | 4 18% | | · |
| Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62) | 4 18% | Over the financial year prior to Y/FY17, Asics Corp triggered a total of 4 red flags out of 62 placing it in a percentile of 18 relative to our sample of 16,000 | Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively |
| Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62) - Percentile vs Global Peers (0% is good, 100% is bad) | 4 18% 5 | Over the financial year prior to Y/FY17, Asics Corp triggered a total of 4 red flags out of 62 placing it in a percentile of 18 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a very good result. Result: Number of red flags normal. | Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively deteriorating financial ratio. These are highlighted in red below. |

© 2014-2018 GMT Research. ALL Rights Reserved.



| Appendices | • | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---|--|
| Appendix 1: Beneish M-Score | | | | | | | | | |
| The state of the s | Calculation | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Index Calculation | Comments on latest perios |
| Days Sales in Receivables Index | +(0.92*0.98) | 1.08 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.98 | (Net Receivables _t / Sales _t) / Net Receivables _{t-1} / Sales _{t-1}) | Receivables of 63 days have fallen by 1 days YoY |
| Gross Margin Index | +(0.528*0.97) | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 | [(Sales _{t-1} - COGS _{t-1}) / Sales _{t-1}] / [(Sales _t - COGS _t) / Sales _t] | 12m Trailing Gross Margin of 45.8% has improved by 1.6ppts YoY |
| Asset Quality Index | +(0.404*0.95) | 0.94 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.24 | 0.95 | 0.95 | $[1-(Curr Ass_t+PP\&E_t+Sec_t)/Assets_t]/[1-((Curr Asss_{t-1}+PP\&E_{t-1}+Sec_{t-1})/Assets_{t-1})]$ | Other LT Assets/Total Assets of 14.9% has fallen by 0.8ppts YoY |
| Sales Growth Index | +(0.892*1.00) | 1.05 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Sales, / Sales, . 1 | 12m Trailing Sales have risen 0% YoY |
| Depreciation Index | +(0.115*0.96) | 1.06 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.96 | $(Depr_{t-1}/(PP\&E_{t-1} + Depr_{t-1})) / (Depr_t/(PP\&E_t + Depr_t))$ | 12m Trailing Depreciation/Sales of 20.8% has risen by 0.8ppts YoY |
| SG&A Index | -(0.172*0.93) | 0.97 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.93 | (SG&A Expense, / Sales,) / (SG&A Expense, 1 / Sales, 1) | 12m Trailing SG&A/Sales of 40.9% have risen by 3.1ppts YoY |
| Total Accruals to Total Assets | -+(4.679*0.07) | 0.00 | 0.03 | -0.02 | -0.06 | -0.07 | -0.07 | Profit from Continuing Ops _t - Cash Flows from Ops _t) / Total Assets _t | Total Accruals (Net Profit less CFO)/Total Assets are -7% |
| Leverage Index | -(0.327*0.99) | 1.01 | 0.85 | 1.16 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.99 | [(Curr Liab _t +Total LT Debt _t)/Total Ass _t]/[(Curr Liab _{t-1} +Total LT Debt _{t-1})/Total Ass _{t-1}] | LT Debt + Current Liabilities/Total Assets of 37% have risen by 0.3ppts YoY |
| Beneish M-Score for Y/FY17 | -4.84 = | -2.39 | -2.20 | -2.56 | -2.78 | -2.84 | -2.84 | An M-Score of >-2.22 signals that the company is likely to be a manipulator | Beneish M-Score of -2.84 in Y/FY17 suggests no profit manipulation |
| Appendix 2: Montier C-Score | | | | | | | | | |
| | | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| Profit | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A growing divergence between Profit and Operating Cash Flow (1 point) | 12m Trailing Accruals Margin of 6% has improved from 5.6% YoY |
| Receivables | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Receivable Days are increasing (1 point) | Receivables of 62 days have fallen by 1 days YoY |
| Inventory | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Inventory Days are increasing (1 point) | Inventory of 22 days has fallen by 0 days YoY |
| Other current assets | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Other Current Assets to Sales are increasing (1 point) | Other Current Assets/Sales of 5.6% have fallen by 1.8ppts YoY |
| Depreciaton | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Depreciation relative to PPE jobs usfa bs | Depreciation of 7.6yrs has fallen by 0.7yrs YoY |
| Asset growth | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | High asset growth in excess of 10% (1 point) | Asset growth of 2% is below 10% |
| Montier's C-Score | | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Score 0-1 is good; 2-4 is average, 5-6 is bad | A C-Score of 0/6 implies improving financials and unlikely manipulating profit |
| Appendix 3: Piotroski F-Score | | | | | | | | | |
| | | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| Net Income Score | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Positive Return on Assets in the current year (1 point) | 12m Trailing Return on Assets of 3.9% |
| Operating Cash Flow Score | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Positive Operating Cash Flow in the current year (1 point) | 12m Trailing CFO Margin of 9.3% |
| Return on Assets Score | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Higher Return on Assets than previous year (1 point) | 12m Trailing ROA has fallen to 3.9% from 4.8% YoY |
| Quality of Earnings Score | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Operating Cash Flow are greater than ROA (1 point) | 12m Trailing CFO Margin of 9.3% is above Net Profit Margin of 3.4% |
| Long-Term Debt to Assets Score | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Lower ratio of Long Term Debt than previous year (1 point) | LT Debt/Total Assets has fallen to 18% from 20% YoY |
| Current Ratio Score | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Higher Current Ratio this year compared to the previous year (1 point) | Current Ratio has fallen to 3.41x from 3.69x YoY |
| Shares Outstanding Score | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | No new shares were issued in the last year (1 point) | Number of shares remains unchanged |
| Gross Margin Score | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | A higher Gross Margin compared to the previous year (1 point) | 12m Trailing Gross Margin of 45.8% has improved by 1.6ppts YoY |
| Asset Turnover Score | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A high Asset Turnover ratio compared to the previous year (1 point) | Asset turnover has fallen to 1.16x from 1.16x YoY |
| Piotroski F-Score | | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | Score 0-1 weak and deteriorating; 8-9 strong and improving | An F-Score of 6/9 suggests financials are improving |
| Appendix 4: Altman Z-Score | | | | | | | | | |
| | | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Altman Z-Score for a manufacturing company | Comments |
| Solvency | | 0.96 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.67 | Working Capital (Current Assets less Current Liabilities)/Tangible Assets | Ability to meet short term obligations |
| Cumulative profitability | | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.70 | Retained Earnings/Tangible Assets | Determines cumulative historic profitability |
| Profitability | | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.20 | EBIT/Tangible Assets | Measures earnings away from the effects of taxes and leverage |
| Market confidence | | 1.68 | 1.46 | 2.00 | 1.88 | 1.39 | 1.39 | Market Capitalisation/Total Liabilities | Whether a firm's value able to cover liabilities |
| Efficiency | | 1.15 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 1.27 | 1.24 | 1.24 | Sales/Tangible Assets | An asset turnover metric to measure efficiency |
| Leverage | | na | na | na | na | na | na | Book Value of Equity/Total Liabilities | Leverage |
| Altman Z-Score for Y/FY17 | | 4.68 | 4.15 | 4.87 | 4.78 | 4.21 | 4.21 | Anything <1.81 for a manufacturer or <1.11 for other companies is problematic | Safe Zone: Financially sound |
| Appendix 5: Acquisition Account | ing | | | | | | | | Get global scre |
| | Point Calculation | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| Material acquisition? | 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | An acquisition in excess of 5% of sales. | No recent material acquisitions (>5% sales) |
| Rise in intangible assets -1yr? | 1 point | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Either a rise in Intangible Assets over 1 year | na |
| Material intangibles on balance sheet? | 1 point | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Or Intangibles are >=50%ile relative to peers | Intangible Assets/Sales of 7% are in the 75%ile |
| Rise in deferred tax assets -1yr? | 1 point | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Either a rise in Deferred Tax Asset over 1 year | na |
| Large deferred tax assets | 1 point | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Or a large Deferred Tax Asset in the 80%ile relative to industry peers | DTA/Sales of 3.1% is in the 82%ile relative to industry peers |
| Large deterred tax assets | | | | | | | | | |

© 2014-2018 GMT Research. ALL Rights Reserved.



| Append | ix 6: | Debt | Wind | low I | Dressi | ing |
|--------|-------|------|------|-------|--------|-----|
|--------|-------|------|------|-------|--------|-----|

| | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|--|--|
| Has high debt repayment/ST debt? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Debt Repayment relative to the ST Debt one year prior is in excess of 130% | Debt Repayment/ST Debt -1FY of 85% is in the 48%ile relative to global peers |
| Has high effective interest rate? | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Effective Interest Rate is in excess of the 80%ile relative to country peers | Effective Int Rate of 0.9% is in the 36%ile relative to country peers |
| Has moderate debt? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | If Debt to Sales >15%ile of industry peers | Debt/Sales of 15% is in the 37%ile relative to industry peers |
| Window Dressing | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | A score of 3 suggests strong likelhood of window dressing | Unlikely to be debt window dressing |
| | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |

Appendix 6a: Investment Window Dressing

| The periodic control of the control | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|--------|--|--|
| | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| High level of investment repayment/sales? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | If Invest Repay/Sales in excess of the 80%ile relative to industry peers | Inv. Repayment/Sales of 0% is in the 21%ile relative to industry peers |
| High inv. repay./investments -1FY? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | If Invest Repay in excess of 100% of previous year's Investments | Investment Repayment/Investment -1FY of 6% |
| Window Dressing Investments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A score of 2 suggests a strong possibility of window dressing | Unlikely to be window dressing investments |

Appendix 7: Eako Cash Flour

| Appendix 7. rake Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | Get global scree |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|---|---|------------------|
| | Point Calculation | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | | |
| High operating margin | Critical criterea: 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Operating Margin >80%ile relative in industry peers | A 12m Trailing Operating Margin of 5% is in the 35%ile | |
| High return on production assets | point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Op Profit/(PPE + Inv) in the >80%ile relative to industry peers | A 12m Return on Production Assets of 16% is in the 51%ile | |
| High level of non-production assets | 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (Total Assets-Production Assets)/(COGS or Sales) >80%ile versus peers | Non Production Assets/COGS (or Sales) of 98% is in the 69%ile | |
| Build-up of non-production assets | 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Increase in Non Prod Assets rel to Sales or COGS >80%ile peers | Non Production Assets/COGS (or Sales) changed by 5% over 1 year | |
| Low dividends and buybacks | 1 point | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Dividends and Buybacks are less than 30% profit | Dividends and buybacks of 33% | |
| A relatively unknown auditor | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Relatively obscure auditor | Well known auditor: Shin Nihon | |
| Incorporation and Domicile | 1pt if >1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Different country of incorporation vrs domicile | Country of incorporation (Japan) is same as domicile (Japan). | |
| Debt structure | 1pt 11 > 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | If Short Term Debt >75% Total Debt, or no debt at all | Short term debt is 10% of total debt | |
| Sector | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Operates in sector prone to fraud | Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods industry is prone to fraud. | |
| Fake Cash Flow Fraud | Max 4pts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A score of 3 or 4 is problematic and requires further investigation | 64% of companies score similarly and just 2.4% of frauds | |

Appendix 8: Excess Capital Raising

| Appendix 8: Excess Capital Raising | | | | | <u>Get</u> | global screen |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|---------------|
| (JPYm) | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY13-17 |
| Sales | +260,245 | +329,383 | +428,525 | +399,141 | +400,050 | +1,817,344 |
| - OPCF | +14,295 | +6,393 | +18,301 | +37,971 | +37,136 | +114,096 |
| - Capex | (3,781) | (5,438) | (5,974) | (9,022) | (12,700) | (36,915) |
| - Dividends (incl MI at subs) | (2,273) | (2,274) | (4,455) | (4,455) | (4,458) | (17,915) |
| - Buybacks | (2) | (10) | (8) | (3) | (2) | (25) |
| - (Acquisitions)/disposals | (1,100) | (9,037) | (117) | (9,669) | +0 | (19,923) |
| = Net internal cash generation | +7,139 | (10,366) | +7,747 | +14,822 | +19,976 | +39,318 |
| Externally generated cash flows | | | | | | |
| - Debt issuance, net | (557) | +30,069 | (8,745) | +35 | (7,093) | +13,709 |
| - Equity issuance | +0 | +0 | +0 | +0 | +6 | +6 |
| = Net external financing | (557) | +30,069 | (8,745) | +35 | (7,087) | +13,715 |
| Net surplus/(deficit) | +6,582 | +19,703 | (998) | +14,857 | +12,889 | +53,033 |
| Net flows/Sales (%) | +3 | +6 | (0) | +4 | +3 | 3 |
| Relative to Global Sector (%ile): | | | | | | 63% |
| Av. cash balance/sales (%) | 14 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 19 | |
| Relative to Global Sector (%ile) | 60% | 64% | 61% | 65% | 71% | |
| Core cash required (10% of Sales): | | | | | 8,457 | |
| Surplus cash at year end (cash balance less core cash): | | | | | 72,802 | |
| Possible fake cash flow over past 5yrs (only if 5yr surplus is g | reater than zero): | | | | 53,033 | |
| Possible fake cash/excess cash balance (%): | | | | | 73 | |
| Normal amounts of cash flow generated. | | | | | | |

| Appendix 9: Debt Reconciliation | | | | | Get | t global screen |
|--|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| (JPYm) | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY13-17 |
| Balance sheet (BS) debt YE | 39,372 | 73,661 | 68,485 | 67,908 | 61,688 | |
| Change in debt: BS | +1,497 | +34,289 | (5,176) | (577) | (6,220) | +23,813 |
| Change in debt: Cash flow (CF) | (557) | 30,069 | (8,745) | 35 | (7,093) | +13,709 |
| Unrec chg BS and CF debt | +2,054 | +4,220 | +3,569 | (612) | +873 | +10,104 |
| Unrec chg/total debt (%) | +5 | +6 | +5 | (1) | +1 | 16 |
| Possible reason: | None. | None. | None. | ok | ok | |
| Material unreconcilable change of debt in 3 of the last 5 years. | | | | | | |

- Assets paid with debt (such as operating leases) is a non-cash item. Capex should be restated.

- Proceeds raised from convertible bonds (CBs) are sometimes regarded as equity, not debt.

- Large acquisitions can lead to difficulties in reconciling debt.

- If no reason is found, further work is needed.

Appendix 10: Ownership Type (latest Bloomberg data)

| | (%) | Active Versus Passive | |
|--------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| Investment Advisor | 54 | Free Float (%) | 90 |
| Bank | 20 | No. Instit. Owners | 298 |
| Corporation | 11 | Institutional (%) | 81 |
| Government | 8 | - Passive Instit. (ETFs) (%) | 14 |
| Insurance Company | 4 | - Active Instit. (%) | 67 |
| Other | 4 | | |

Appendix 11: Insiders (latest Bloomberg data)

| | | Comment |
|--|------|--|
| Insider Shares O/S (%) | 0.05 | |
| Chg Insider Hldgs -6m (%) | 0.00 | Changes in insider holdings can be due to options, etc. as opposed to actively buying or selling |
| Number of Insider Shares Purchased (m) | +0.0 | shares |
| Number of Insider Shares Sold (m) | +0.0 | |
| Average Purchase Price of Insider Shares | 0.00 | Current share price is JPY2063 |
| Average Sell Price of Insider Shares | 0.00 | |
| Shares Outstanding (m) | 190 | |
| Insider Shares Traded -6m/Total Shares (%) | 0.00 | |

Appendix 12: Comprehensive Income (latest Bloomberg data)

| · · | (JPYm) | Comment |
|--|----------|---|
| Comprehensive Income | 4,076 | |
| Less: | | |
| Net Income/Net Profit (Losses) | 12,970 | |
| Other comprehensive income comprised of: | | |
| Change In Fair Value of Derivatives | (15,437) | Other comprehensive income is -69% of income which is unusually high. Unable to reconcile |
| Other Comprehensive Income | (8,836) | 67% of other comprehensive income which is unusually high. |
| Foreign Currency Trans. Adj. | 6,054 | |
| Unrealized Gain (Loss) On Securities | 929 | |
| Pension Related Adjustments | (345) | |
| na | na | |
| na | na | |
| Amount unable to reconcile: | 8,741 | |

© 2014-2018 GMT Research. ALL Rights Reserved. 5of5