## GMT Research Accounting Screen

Short Name
GICS Ind Name
GICS Industry
Cntry of Dom
Most Recent Balance Sheet Period
Ticker
Latest Period
Current Fiscal Year
Mid sized auditor:
Numbe of auditors in past 5yrs:
Country of incorporation:
Country of financials:

| Relevant GMT Research Reports (please go to our website and login): |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| Short-Seller's Report (for Asian companies only) |
|  |
|  |

Summary of accounting risk for Q3/FY13

| - Profit manipulation | High risk: Beneish. |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Financial position | Normal |
| - General accounting | Normal |
| - Shenanigans | Evidence: Fake Cash Flow. Excess Capital. |
| - Accounting risk: | High |

Evidence: Fake Cash Flow. Excess Capital.

This spreadsheet expires on 30th June 2018, in 71 days.
Profit Manipulation
Latest financials complete
Profit Manipulation: Beneish M-Score (See App 1)
Cooking the Books: Montier's C-Score (App 2)
Financial Position
Strength of Financial Position: Piotroski F-Score (App 3)
Risk of Default: Altman Z-Score (App 4)
Accounting Shenanigans

Acquisition Accounting (App 5 )
Window Dressing (App 6)
Fake Cash Fraud: Overly profitable (App 7 Excess Capital Raising (App 8)
Debt Reconciliation (App 9)
Other Financial Items
Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 75)
Cash Balance
Restricted Cash
Receivables
Doubtful Debts
Payables
Inventory
Profit Manipulation: Gross Working Capital
Cash Extraction Fraud: Prepaid Expenses
Capitalisation of Expenses
Affiliate Investments
Auditing Costs
Deferred Tax Assets
Deferred Tax Liabilities
Derivatives
Other Large Curious Assets and/or Liabilities
Depn \& Amort
Interest Cover
Leverage
Related Party Fraud
Other Tests:

Description:
Eratat Lifestyle Limited designs, manufactures, and distributes lifestyle fashion footwear. The Company also design and distributes lifestyle fashion apparel under its branded name

An F-Score of 5/9 suggests financials are marginally improving.
An Altman Z-Score of 18.4 is in the Safe Zone: Financially sound

## No evidence of acquisition accounting.

No evidence of window dressing
Scores $4 / 4$ in Q3/FY13. Major Fraud Alert: $73 \%$ of frauds score 4 points and $2.9 \%$ of all companies. Further investigation needed. Triggered Fake Cash Flow in last 5yrs?: FY12 FY11 FY10 FY09 FY08 Net flows over 5yrs at $9 \%$ of Sales are in the $87 \%$ ile and unusually high suggesting too much external finance is being raised. No material unreconcilable changes in debt in the last 5 years.

Triggers 11 red flags in the last financial period which is normal.
Cash \& STI of CNYO.6bn is extremely high at $47 \%$ of sales, in the $93 \%$ ile relative to peers, which is a trait of fake cash flow frauds.
Restricted Cash of CNYObn is within normal range.
Large Receivables of CNYO.5bn are equal to $49 \%$ of Sales which is in the $99 \%$ ile relative to peers, can be a sign of channel stuffing
Delinquency at $0 \%$ of total receivables are within normal range.
Large Payables of CNYObn are within normal range.
Inventories of CNYObn are equal to $3 \%$ of Sales which is within normal range.
No evidence of profit/cash flow manipulation through working capital.
Prepaid Expenses of CNYObn are large relative to sales ( $1.2 \%$ ) and inventory ( $36.1 \%$ ), raising the risk of illegal cash extraction fraud.
Capitalised expenses relative to pre-tax profits (0\%) are within normal range.
There are no investments in associates.
No information available
Deferred Tax Assets/Sales of $0 \%$ are within normal range.
Deferred Tax Liabilities/Sales of $0 \%$ are within normal range.
Derivatives equal to $0 \%$ of equity within normal range.
Within normal range.
Low depreciation at $0.6 \%$ of sales suggests that the company might be inflating profit.
EBIT Interest Cover of $7.3 x$ is within normal range.
Total Debt/Profit of 0.7 x and assets to equity of 1.2 x are within normal range
No evidence of related party transactions.
No or new CFO.
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| Ratios Clobal/sector/ |  | Eratat Lifestyle Ratios |  |  | Ratio Change |  | Peer Group Comparison Ratios |  |  |  | Percentiles and Red Flags |  |  | Red Flag Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q3/FY13 | Q3/FY12 | Q3/FY10 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg | 20th \%ile | 50th \%ile | 80th \%ile | No of Peers | Q3/FY13 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg |  |
| Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0-100\%) | (+/-50\%) | (+/-50\%) |  |
| CFO/Net Profit ( x ) | GICS Industry | 0.9 | 2.0 | -0.1 | -1.1 | +1.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1,697 | 64\% | +33\% | -25\% | Fallen over 1yr. |
| Cash Interest Exp./CFO (\%) | GICS Industry | na | na | na | na | na | 0.2 | 4.8 | 25.8 | 271 | na | na | na |  |
| Capex/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | +0.1 | -0.2 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 371 | 1\% | +5\% | -6\% |  |
| Decrease Investments/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 141 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Other Investing Activities/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 292 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Debt Repay/ST Debt -1FY (\%) | Global | nа | na | na | na | na | 20.0 | 88.4 | 210.9 | 10,373 | na | na | na |  |
| Free Cash Flow Margin (\%) | GICS Industry | 12.3 | 21.0 | $-2.3$ | -8.7 | +14.6 | -4.2 | 2.4 | 8.7 | 377 | 11\% | +39\% | -39\% | Fallen over 1 yr . |
| FCF-Divs/Sales (\%) | Global | 11.1 | 19.8 | -4.3 | -8.7 | +15.4 | -7.2 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 10,563 | 13\% | +36\% | -37\% | Fallen over 1 yr . |
| No. of Mat. Capital Inc-5yrs | Global | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 9,451 | 85\% | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |
| Other Fin. Activities/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 329 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Corporate Governance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0-100\%) | (+/-50\%) | (+/-50\%) |  |
| Auditing Exp//Sales (bp) | GICS Industry | na | 3 | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2.5 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 179 | na | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | $\xrightarrow{+}$ |  |
| R.PT Balances/Equity (\%) | Global | 0.0 | $\bigcirc$ | C | - | - | 0.3 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 1,434 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Number of Aca\&Disp-5yrs | Global |  |  | R |  |  | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 12,251 | na | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Acg\&Disp Con./Sales Av. -5yrs (\%) | Global | 0.0 |  | $\leq$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 0.2 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 12,251 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Executive Comp/Sales (bp) | GICS Industry | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\longrightarrow$ |  | $\square$ | 7.4 | 22.6 | 73.1 | 84 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  |
| Executive Comp/PTP (bp) | GICS Industry | na | , | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 67.6 | 188.6 | 669.0 | 84 | na |  | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  |
| CEO Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\square$ | 1.4 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 5,466 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| CFO Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | $\rightarrow$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\square$ | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 716 | na | - | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Av. Executive Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\sum$ | $\square$ | 4.1 3.9 | 7.4 6.4 | 11.9 9.9 | 3,291 3,672 |  | $\sum$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  |

Source GMT Research, Bloomber

Summary of financials in Q3/FY13 Average Ratio Percentile Score ( 0 is good, 100 is bad - Percentile vs Industry Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 75)
-Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Summary of change in financials over 1 year Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62) Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Summary of change in financials over 3 yrs Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62)

- Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Result: Scores within normal range. Number of red flags normal. $\qquad$

47\% In Q3/FY13, Eratat Lifestyle recorded an average percentile score ( 0 is good, 100 is
$38 \% \mathrm{bad})$ of $47 \%$ across all 75 financial ratios listed below. This places it in a percentile
11 of 38 relative to around 379 GICS industry peers globally, which is a modera
$43 \%$ result. The company triggered a total of 11 red flags out of 75 placing it in a percentile of 43 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a moderate result.
Result: Number of red flags normal
mal. Over the financial year prior to $\mathrm{Q} 3 / \mathrm{FY} 13$, Eratat Lifestyle triggered a total of 11 red flags out of 62 placing it in a percentile of 72 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a moderate result. Result: Number of red flags normal. $\qquad$
red flags out of 62 placing it in a percentile of 60 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a moderate result.

## Explanation

 raw from 16,00 companies globally between 2010 and 2015. In general, low percentile scores are 80th perchig scores are poor. Red flags are maily tiggered wha company's ratio is above the Red flags are highlighted below in red.
## Explanation

Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively deteriorating financial ratio. These are highlighted in red below.

## Explanation

Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively
deteriorating financial ratio. These are highlighted in red below.
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Appendices
Appendix 1: Beneish M-Score

|  | Calculation | Fy08 | FYo9 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | Q3/FY13 | Index Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days Sales in Receivables Index | +(0.92**.96) | 1.72 | 1.34 | 1.46 | 1.58 | 1.39 | 0.96 |  |
| Gross Margin Index | +(0.528*1.12) | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.87 | 1.06 | 1.12 |  |
| Asset Quality Index | +(0.404*0.80) | 130,315.63 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.59 | 0.80 | 0.80 |  |
| Sales Growth Index | +(0.892*1.02) | 1.58 | 1.72 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 0.99 | 1.02 | Salest/ Sales ${ }_{\text {t-1 }}$ |
| Depreciation Index | +(0.115*5.02) | 2.95 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.18 | 5.02 |  |
| SG\&A Index | -(0.172*2.06) | 1.07 | 0.74 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 2.06 | (SG\&A Expense $/$ / Salest ${ }_{\text {t }}$ / ( SG\&A Expense $^{\text {t-1 }} /$ Sales $_{\text {t-1 }}$ ) |
| Total Accruals to Total Assets | +(4.679*0.02) | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.02 | Profit from Continuing Opst - Cash Flows from Opst / Total Assets ${ }_{\text {t }}$ |
| Leverage Index | -(0.327*0.50) | 3.61 | 2.21 | 0.80 | 2.00 | 0.83 | 0.50 |  |
| Beneish M-Score for Q3/FY13 | -4.84 = | 52,646.54 | -1.00 | -1.45 | -1.69 | -2.25 | -1.99 | An M-Score of >-2.22 signals that the company is likely to be a manipulato |

Comments on latest perios
12 m Trailing Gross Margin of $29.3 \%$ has deteriorated by 3.4 ppts Yo Other LTAssets/Total Assets of 0.1
12 m Trailing Sales have risen $2 \%$ Yoy
12 m Trailing Depreciation/Sales of $7.4 \%$ has fallen by 29.9 ppts YoY
12 m Traliing SG\&A/Sales of $9.1 \%$ have fallen by 9.6 bppts Yo
Total Accruals (Net Profit less CFO)/Total Assets
LT Debt + Current Liabilities/Total Assets of $14 \%$ have risen by 7.3 ppts YoY
Beneish M -Score of - 1.99 in $\mathrm{Q} 3 /$ FY13 suggests possible profit manipulation

| Appendix 2: Montier C-Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fros | fro9 | FY10 | Fr11 | FY12 | Q3/FY13 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| Profit | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | A growing divergence between Profit and Operating Cash Flow (1 point) | 12 m Trailing Accruals Margin of $-1.9 \%$ has fallen from $10.5 \%$ YoY |
| Receivables | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Receivable Days are increasing (1 point) | Receivables of 178 days have fallen by 7 days YoY |
| Inventory | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Inventory Days are increasing (1 point) | Inventory of 3 days has risen by 2 days Yoy |
| Other current assets | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other Current Assets to Sales are increasing (1 point) | Other Current Assets/Sales of 0.7\% have fallen by 4.6 pppts YoY |
| Depreciaton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | Depreciation relative to PPE jobs usfa bs | na |
| Asset growth | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | High asset growth in excess of $10 \%$ (1 point) | Asset growth of $25 \%$ Yoy is in excess of $10 \%$ |
| Montier's C-Score |  |  | 4 |  |  | 3 | Score 0-1 is good; 2-4 is average, 5-6 is bad | A C-Score of $3 / 6$ is average |

Appendix 3: Piotroski F-Score

|  | FYo8 | Fro9 | fr10 | FY11 | FY12 | Q3/FY13 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Positive Return on Assets in the current year (1 point) | 12 m Trailing Return on Assets of 13.4\% |
| Operating Cash Flow Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Positive Operating Cash Flow in the current year (1 point) | 12 m Trailing CFO Margin of 12.4\% |
| Return on Assets Score | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Higher Return on Assets than previous year (1 point) | 12 m Trailing ROA has risen to $13.4 \%$ from $11 \%$ Yoy |
| Quality of Earnings Score | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Operating Cash Flow are greater than ROA (1 point) | 12 m Trailing CFO Margin of 14.2\% is below Net Profit Margin of 13.8\% |
| Long-Term Debt to Assets Score | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lower ratio of Long Term Debt than previous year (1 point) | LT Debt/Total Assets has risen to $8 \%$ from $0 \%$ YoY |
| Current Ratio Score | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Higher Current Ratio this year compared to the previous year (1 point) | Current Ratio has risen to 15.64x from 12.79x YoY |
| Shares Outstanding Score | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | No new shares were issued in the last year (1 point) | Number of shares remains unchanged |
| Gross Margin Score | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | A higher Gross Margin compared to the previous year (1 point) | 12 m Trailing Gross Margin of $29.3 \%$ has deteriorated by 3.4ppts YoY |
| Asset Turnover Score | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A high Asset Turnover ratio compared to the previous year (1 point) | Asset turnover has fallen to $0.93 x$ from 1.05x YoY |
| Piotroski F-Score | 8 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | Score 0-1 weak and deteriorating; 8-9 strong and improving | An F-Score of $5 / 9$ suggests financials are marginally improving |

Appendix 4: Altman Z-Score

|  | fros | fro9 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | Q3/FY13 | Altman Z -Score for a company in emerging markets | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvency | 27.64 | 9.83 | 4.75 | 5.53 | 5.58 | 5.76 | Working Capital (Current Assets less Current Liabilities)/Tangible Assets | Ability to meet short term obligations |
| Cumulative profitability | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.66 | 1.99 | 2.11 | 2.02 | Retained Earnings/Tangible Assets | Determines cumulative historic profitability |
| Profitability | 2.68 | 1.80 | 1.45 | 1.52 | 1.24 | 1.15 | EBIT/Tangible Assets | Measures earnings away from the effects of taxes and leverage |
| Market confidence | na | na | na | na | na | na | Market Capitalisation/Total Liabilities | Whether a firm's value able to cover liabilities |
| Efficiency | na | na | na | na | na | na | Sales/Tangible Assets | An asset turnover metric to measure efficiency |
| Leverage | 3.87 | 9.82 | 7.67 | 16.38 | 13.40 | 6.22 | Book Value of Equity/Total Liabilities | Leverage |
| Altman Z-Score for Q3/FY13 | 38.89 | 26.15 | 18.78 | 28.67 | 25.59 | 18.40 | Anything <1.81 for a manufacturer or <1.11 for other companies is problematic | Safe Zone: Financially sound |

Appendix 5: Acquisition Accounting

|  | Point Calculation | FYo8 | FYo9 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | Q3/FY13 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material acquisition? | 1 point | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | An acquisition in excess of $5 \%$ of sales. | No recent material acquisitions (>5\% sales) |
| Rise in intangible assets - y y? | 1 point | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Either a rise in Intangible Assets over 1 year | na |
| Material intangibles on balance sheet? |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Or Intangibles are >=50\%ile relative to peers | Intangible Assets/Sales of 0\% are in the 22\%ile |
| Rise in deferred tax assets -1 yr ? | 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Either a rise in Deferred Tax Asset over 1 year | na |
| Large deferred tax assets |  | na | na | na | na | na | na | Or a large Deferred Tax Asset in the 80\%\%le relative to industry peers | na |
| Acquisition Accounting |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A score of 3 suggests possibility of acquisition accounting to inflate profits | No evidence of acquisition accountin |
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| Comment |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Insider Shares 0/5 (\%) | \#N/A Field Not Applicable |
| Chg Insider Hldgs -6m (\%) | \#N/A Field Not Appl Changes in insider holdings can be due to options, etc. as opposed to actively buying or selling |
| Number of Insider Shares Purchased ( $m$ ) | na shar |
| Number of Insider Shares Sold ( $m$ ) | na |
| Average Purchase Price of Insider Shares | \#N/A Field Not Appl Current share price is SGD\#N/A Real Time |
| Average Sell Price of Insider Shares | \#N/A Field Not Applicable |
| Shares Outstanding (m) | 475 |
| Insider Shares Traded -6m/Total Shares (\%) | \#Value! |

Appendix 12: Comprehensive Income (latest Bloomberg data)

|  | (CNYM) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Income | 39 |  |
| Less: |  |  |
| Net Income/Net Profit (Losses) | 39 |  |
| Other comprehensive income comprised of: |  |  |
| na | na | Other comprehensive income is zero. Able to fully reconcile other comprehensive income. |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| Amount unable to reconcile: | (0) |  |

Assets paid with debt (such as operating leases) is a non-cash item. Capex should be restate
Troceeds raised from convertible bonds (CBs) are sometimes regarded as equity, not debt.
Large acquisitions can lead to difficulties in reconciling debt.

