## GMT Research Accounting Screen

Short Name
GICS Ind Name
GICS Industry
Cntry of Dom
Most Recent Balance Sheet Period
Ticker
Latest Period
Current Fiscal Year
Well known auditor:
Numbe of auditors in past 5yrs:
Country of incorporation
Country of financials:

| Wolverine World | Description: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Textiles, Apparel \& Luxury Goods | Wolverine World Wide, Inc. manufactures and markets branded footwear and performance leathers. The Company's products include shoes, slippers, occupational and safety footwear, and performance outdoor footwear, among others. |
| 252,030 |  |
| US |  |
| 2017 Y |  |
| WWW US |  |
| Y |  |
| 2017 |  |
| Ernst \& Youn |  |
| 1 |  |
| Country of incorporation (United Stas | is same as domicile (United States). |
| Currency of financials (US Dollar) is | as country of risk (United States). |


| Relevant GMT Research Reports (please go to our website and login): |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

Profit Manipulation
Profit Manipulation: Beneish M-Score (See App 1)
Cooking the Books: Montier's C-Score (App 2)
Financial Position
Strength of Financial Position: Piotroski F-Score (App 3)
Risk of Default: Altman Z-Score (App 4)
Accounting Shenanigans

Acquisition Accounting (App 5 )
Window Dressing (App 6)
Fake Cash Fraud: Overly profitable (App 7)
Excess Capital Raising (App 8)
Debt Reconciliation (App 9)
Other Financial Items
Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 75)
Cash Balance
Restricted Cash
Receivables
Doubtful Debts
Payables
nventory
Profit Manipulation: Gross Working Capital
Cash Extraction Fraud: Prepaid Expenses
Capitalisation of Expenses
Affiliate Investments
Auditing Costs
Deferred Tax Assets
Deferred Tax Liabilities
Derivative
Other Large Curious Assets and/or Liabilities
Depn \& Amort
Interest Cove
Leverage
Related Party Fraud
Other Tests:

Latest financials complete
A C-Score of $2 / 6$ slighty better than average but watch out for: Rising receivables. Falling depreciation.
An F-Score of 7/9 suggests financials are improving.
An Altman Z-Score of 4.9 is in the Safe Zone: Financially sound.

## No evidence of acquisition accounting.

No evidence of window dressing.
Scores $0 / 4$ in Y/FY17. $64 \%$ of companies score similarly and just $2.4 \%$ of frauds. Rest easy. Triggered Fake Cash Flow in last 5yrs?: FY14 Net flows over 5yrs at $3 \%$ of Sales are normal. No material unreconcilable changes in debt in the last 5 years.

## Triggers 16 red flags in the last financial period which is normal

Cash \& STI of USDO.5bn is within normal range.
Restricted Cash of USDObn is within normal range.
Receivables of USDO. 3 bn are equal to $11 \%$ of Sales which is in within normal range
Delinquency at $0 \%$ of total receivables are within normal range.
arge Payables of USDO.3bn are within normal range.
Inventories of USDO.3bn are equal to $12 \%$ of Sales which is within normal range
No evidence of profit/cash flow manipulation through working capital.
Prepaid Expenses of USDObn are large relative to sales (1.9\%) and inventory (16.4\%), raising the risk of illegal cash extraction fraud.
na
There are no investments in associates.
No information available
Large Deferred Tax Assets/Sales of $4 \%$ in the $90 \%$ ile relative to peers, might refect losses at subsidiaries or of Acquisition Accounting.
Large Deferred Tax Liabilities/Sales of $27 \%$ in the $89 \%$ ile relative to peers suggest that profits reported to shareholders are higher than those reported to tax authorities.
Lerivatives equal to $2 \%$ of equity within normal range.
Large Other LT Liabilities of USDO.2bn include Pension Liabilities of USDO.1bn
Within normal range.
na
Total Debt/Profit of 5 x and assets to equity of 2.5 x are within normal range.
No evidence of related party transactions.
Unable to reconcile $1967 \%$ of other comprehensive income which is unusually hish. Other comprehensive income is $1967 \%$ of income which is unusually high Gross Marsin volatility is unusually low at $2 \%$ in the $9 \%$ ile relative to peers which is sometimes a trait of frauds.
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## GMT Research Accounting Screen

| Ratios | Global/Sector/ | Wolverine World Ratios |  |  | Ratio Change |  | Peer Group Comparison Ratios |  |  |  | Percentiles and Red Flags |  |  | Red Flag Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Country | Y/FY17 | Y/FY16 | Y/FY14 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg | 20th \%ile | 50th \%ile | 80th \%ile | No of Peers | Y/FY17 | -1yr Chg | -3yr Chg |  |
| Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0-100\%) | (+/-50\%) | (+\|-50\%) |  |
| CFO/Net Profit (x) | GICS Industry | 675.7 | 3.4 | 2.4 | +672.3 | +673.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1,697 | 1\% | -49\% | -49\% |  |
| Cash Interest Exp./CFO (\%) | GICS Industry | 15.5 | 11.4 | 13.4 | +4.2 | +2.1 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 25.8 | 271 | 70\% | +22\% | +15\% |  |
| Capex/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | -0.8 | +0.3 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 371 | 20\% | -22\% | +7\% |  |
| Decrease Investments/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | +0.0 | +0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 141 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\longrightarrow$ |  |
| Other Investing Activities/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | +1.0 | +1.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 292 | 56\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Debt Repay/ST Debt-1FY (\%) | Global | 93 | 2,330 | 469 | -2,237.4 | -375.8 | 20.0 | 88.4 | 210.9 | 10,373 | 51\% | -48\% | -44\% |  |
| Free Cash Flow Margin (\%) | GICS Industry | 7.2 | 9.7 | 10.3 | -2.4 | -3.1 | -4.2 | 2.4 | 8.7 | 377 | 25\% | +18\% | +21\% |  |
| FCF-Divs/Sales (\%) | Global | 6.3 | 8.7 | 9.4 | -2.5 | -3.2 | -7.2 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 10,563 | 22\% | +18\% | +21\% |  |
| No. of Mat. Capital Inc -5yrs | Global |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 9,451 | na | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | $\xrightarrow{ }$ |  |
| Other Fin. Activities/Sales (\%) | GICS Industry | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | -0.4 | +0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 329 | 54\% | $\sum$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  |
| Corporate Governance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0-100\%) | (+/-50\%) | (+/-50\%) |  |
| Auditing Exp/Sales (bp) | GICS Industry | na | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | $\square$ | $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | 2.5 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 179 | na | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |  |
| RPT Balances/Equity (\%) | Global | 0.0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.3 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 1,434 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Number of Acq\&Disp -5yrs | Global | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 12,251 | 36\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Acq\&Disp Con./Sales Av. -5yrs (\%) | Global | 0.3 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.2 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 12,251 | 25\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Executive Comp/sales (bp) | GICS Industry | 95.7 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7.4 | 22.6 | 73.1 | 84 | 87\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | Executive Comp/Sales (bp) high. |
| Executive Comp/PTP (bp) | GICS Industry | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | 67.6 | 188.6 | 669.0 | 84 | na | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| CEO Tenure (yrs) | Global | 11.1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\rightarrow$ | 1.4 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 5,466 | 80\% | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | CEO Tenure (yrs) high. |
| CFO Tenure (yrs) | Global | 2.6 | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | , | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 716 | 42\% | $\stackrel{3}{ }$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Av. Executive Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | $\cdots$ |  | $\longrightarrow$ | $\square$ | 4.1 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 3,291 | na | $\rightarrow$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Av. Board Tenure (yrs) | Global | na | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 3.9 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 3,672 |  | $\bigcirc$ | > |  |

Source: GMT Research, Bloomberg

Summary of financials in Y/FY17
Average Ratio Percentile Score ( 0 is good, 100 is bad

- Percentile vs Industry Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 75)

- Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Summary of change in financials over 1 year Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62)
Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)
Summary of change in financials over 3 yrs Number of Red Flags (Out of a possible 62)

- Percentile vs Global Peers ( $0 \%$ is good, $100 \%$ is bad)

Result: Scores within normal range. Number of red flags normal $\qquad$
52\% In Y/FY17, Wolverine World recorded an average percentile score ( 0 is good, 100
$67 \%$ bad) of $52 \%$ across all 75 financial ratios listed below. This places it in a percentile
16 of 67 relative to around 379 GICS industry peers globally, which is a moderat result. The company triggered a total of 16 red flags out of 75 placing it in a percentile of 72 relative to our sample of 16,000 companies globally, which is a moderate result.

Result: Number of red flags normal. $\qquad$ Over the financial year prior to $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{FY} 17$, Wolverine World triggered a total of 10 red
flas of 10 r companies globally, which is a moderate result. Result: Number of red flags normal. $\qquad$ Red flags are hormally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively red flags out of 62 placing it in a percentile of 73 relative to our sample of 16,000 deteriorating financial ratio. These are highlighted in red below. companies globally, which is a moderate result.

## Explanation

Each of the 50 financial ratios listed below is percentile ranked relative to an appropriate peer group and 2015. In general, low percentile scores are 80th percenile seores are poor. Red flags are maily triggered when a company's ratio is above the Red flags are highlighted below in red

## Explanation

Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50 which suggests an aggressively Red flags are normally triggered by a score between +30 and +50
deteriorating financial ratio. These are highlighted in red below. Explanation
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Appendices
Appendix 1: Beneish M-Score

|  | Calculation | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Index Calculation | Comments on latest perios |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days Sales in Receivables Index | +(0.92*1.01) | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.01 | (Net Receivables $/$ Salest $/$ / et Receivables $s_{t-1} /$ Sales $^{\text {c-1 }}$ ) | Receivables of 42 days have increased by 0 days YoY |
| Gross Margin Index | $+\left(0.528^{*} 0.99\right)$ | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  | 12 m Trailing Gross Margin of $38.9 \%$ has improved by 0.4 ppts YoY |
| Asset Quality Index | $+(0.404 * 0.96)$ | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  | Other LT Assets/Total Assets of 49.5\% has fallen by 2.1ppts YoY |
| Sales Growth Index | $+(0.892 * 0.94)$ | 1.64 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | Salest $/$ Sales $_{\text {t-1 }}$ | 12 m Trailing Sales have fallen -6\% Yoy |
| Depreciation Index | $+(0.115 * 1.07)$ | 0.58 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.18 | 1.07 | 1.07 |  | 12 m Trailing Depreciation/Sales of $21.4 \%$ has fallen by 1.6ppts YoY |
| SG\&A Index | $-(0.172 * 0.85)$ | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 0.85 |  | 12 m Trailing SG\&A/Sales of $37.9 \%$ have risen by 5.8 pppts YoY |
| Total Accruals to Total Assets | -(4.679*0.02) | -0.02 | -0.06 | -0.03 | -0.07 | -0.02 | -0.02 | Profit from Continuing Ops ${ }_{\text {t }}$ - Cash Flows from Ops ${ }_{\text {t }}$ / / Total Assets ${ }_{\text {t }}$ | Total Accruals (Net Profit less CFO)/Total Assets are - $2 \%$ |
| Leverage Index | -(0.327*0.99) | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  | LT Debt + Current Liabilities/Total Assets of 46\% have risen by 0.3ppts Yoy |
| Beneish M-Score for $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{FY} 17$ | -4.84 = | -2.31 | -2.86 | -2.74 | -2.86 | -2.60 | -2.60 | An M-Score of $>$-2.22 signals that the company is likely to be a manipulator | Beneish M-Score of -2.6 in $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{FY} 17$ suggests no profit manipulation |
| Appendix 2: Montier C-Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Point Calculation | Comments |
| Profit |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A growing divergence between Profit and Operating Cash Flow (1 point) | 12 m Trailing Accruals Margin of $8.6 \%$ has improved from 8.4\% YoY |
| Receivables |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Receivable Days are increasing (1 point) | Receivables of 41 days have increased by 0 days YoY |
| Inventory |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Inventory Days are increasing (1 point) | Inventory of 12 days has fallen by 2 days Yoy |
| Other current assets |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other Current Assets to Sales are increasing (1 point) | Other Current Asset/Sales of $2 \%$ have fallen by 0.1ppts YoY |
| Depreciaton |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Depreciation relative to PPE jobs usfa bs | Depreciation of 11.1yrs has risen by 1.1yrs Yoy |
| Asset growth |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | High asset growth in excess of 10\% (1 point) | Asset growth of $-1 \%$ is below $10 \%$ |
| Montier's C-Score |  | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | Score 0-1 is good; 2-4 is average, 5-6 is bad | A C-Score of 2/6 slighty better than average |

Appendix 3: Piotroski F-Scor

| FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 |


| Point Calcuiation |
| :--- |
| Positive Return on Assets in the current year (1 point) |
| Positive Operating Cash Flow in the current year (1 point) |
| Higher Return on Assets than n previous year (1 point) |
| Operating Cash Flow are geeater than RRA (1 point) |
| Lower ratio of Long Term Debt than previous year (1 point) |
| Higher Current Ratio this year compared to the previous year (1 point) |
| No new shares were issued in the last year (1 point) | No new shares were issued in the last year (1 point)

A higher Gross Margin compared to the previous year ( 1 point) A high Asset Turnover ratio compared to the previous year (1 poin


12 m Trailing Return on Assets of $6.5 \%$
12 m Trailing CFO Margin of $8.6 \%$
12 m Trailing ROA has risen to $6.5 \%$ from $5.3 \%$ YoY
12 m Trailing CFO Margin of $8.6 \%$ is above Net Profit Margin of $6.7 \%$ LT Debt/Total Assets has fallen to $33 \%$ from $34 \%$ YoY Current Ratio has fallen to 2.97x from 3.09x Yoy Decreased number of shares by $-1.1 \%$
12 m Triiling Gross Margin of $38.9 \%$ has improved by 0.4 ppts YoY Asset turnover has fallen to 0.97 x from 1.03 X Yo

Appendix 4: Altman Z-Score

|  | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 | Altman Z -Score for a manufacturing company | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvency | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.66 | Working Capital (Current Assets less Current Liabilities)/Tangible Assets | Ability to meet short term obligations |
| Cumulative profitability | 0.77 | 0.94 | 1.09 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.08 | Retained Earnings/Tangible Assets | Determines cumulative historic profitability |
| Profitability | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.06 | EBIT/Tangible Assets | Measures earnings away from the effects of taxes and leverage |
| Market confidence | 1.13 | 1.13 | 0.67 | 0.88 | 1.27 | 1.27 | Market Capitalisation/Total Liabilities | Whether a firm's value able to cover liabilities |
| Efficiency | 1.98 | 2.19 | 2.20 | 2.00 | 1.82 | 1.82 | Sales/Tangible Assets | An asset turnover metric to measure efficiency |
| Leverage | na | na | na | na | na | na | Book Value of Equity/Total Liabilities | Leverage |
| Altman Z -Score for $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{FY} 17$ | 4.97 | 5.53 | 5.16 | 5.12 | 4.90 | 4.90 | Anything <1.81 for a manufacturer or <1.11 for other companies is problematic | Safe Zone: Financially sound |

Appendix 5: Acquisition Accountin
Material acquisition?
Rise in intangible assets $-1 y r$ ?
Material intangibele on balance sheet?
Rise in deferred tax assets $-1 y r$ ?
Large deferred tax assets

| PringPalculation | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Y/FY17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 point | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 point | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Point Calculation |
| :--- |
| An acquisition in excess of $5 \%$ of sales. |
| Either a rise in Intangible Assets over 1 year |
| Or Intangibes are >550\%ile relative to peeers |
| Either a rise in Deferred Tax Asset over 1 year |
| Or a large Deferred Tax Asset in the $80 \%$ ile relative to industry peers |

No recent material acquisitions (>5\% sales)
na
intangible Assets/Sales of $47 \%$ are in the $95 \%$ ile
na
DTA/Sales of $4.3 \%$ is in the $90 \%$ ile relative to industry peers
Acquisition Accounting
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Nequisitions)/disposals
Externally generated cash flows

- Debt issuance, net
- Equity issuance
$=$ Net external financing $\frac{\text { Net surplus/(deficit) }}{}$
Net flows/Sales (\%)
Relative to Global Sector (\%ile)
Relative to Global Sector (\%
Av. cash balance/sales (\%)
Relative to Global Sector (\%ile)
Core cash required ( $10 \%$ of Sales):
surplus cash at year end (cash balance less core cash):
possible fake cash/excess cash balance ( $\%$ )


Point Calculation
Op Profit/(PPE + Inv) in the $>80 \%$ ile relative to industry peers
Tal Assets-Po Prction Assets)/COGS or Sales) $>80 \%$ ile versus peers Dividends and Buybacks are less than $30 \%$ profit
Sifferent ooscurre auditor
If Short Term Debt >75\% Total Debt, or no debt at al perates in sector prone to fraud

Appendix 11: Insiders (latest Bloomberg data)

|  |  | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insider Shares 0/5 (\%) | 3.41 | Changes in insider holdings can be due to options, etc. as opposed to actively buying or sellingshares |
| Chg Insider HIdgs -6m (\%) | -5.80 |  |
| Number of Insider Shares Purchased ( $m$ ) | +0.0 |  |
| Number of Insider Shares Sold ( $m$ ) | -0.2 |  |
| Average Purchase Price of Insider Shares | 0.00 | Current share price is USD29.4 |
| Average Sell Price of Insider Shares | 29.61 |  |
| Shares Outstanding ( m ) | 96 |  |
| Insider Shares Traded -6m/Total Shares (\%) | 0.00 |  |

Appendix 9: Debt Reconciliation


|  | (USDm) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Income | 6 |  |
| Less: |  |  |
| Net Income/Net Profit (Losses) | 0 |  |
| Other comprehensive income comprised of: |  |  |
| na | na | Other comprehensive income is $1967 \%$ of income which is unusually high. Unable to reconcile |
| na | na | $1967 \%$ of other comprehensive income which is unusually high. |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| na | na |  |
| Amount unable to reconcile: | 6 |  |

